
Govern one real agent, and tell us where it hurts.

We are looking for a small number of organisations running autonomous agents against consequential systems, under a regulator they can name. Not pilots that end in a slide — one real agent, in `observe`, producing a report you would actually take to your risk committee.

What you get

THE WHOLE PLATFORM

Nine gates, delegation, evidence sealing, the red-team grid, regulatory packs. Nothing held back behind a tier — a governance product you can only half evaluate is one you cannot evaluate.

DEPLOYMENT WITH YOU

Into your VPC, your data centre, or airgapped. Identity over OIDC, key custody in your KMS or HSM, residency, egress posture, and the market profiles for the regimes you are actually under. Engineering support for software you run, not a consulting engagement.

THE FIRST INTEGRATIONS

Three lines in an agent's own code is the honest description of the SDK, and the first integration is still where the questions are: which actions are consequential, what a grant should bound, where the enforcement point belongs. Alongside your engineers rather than instead of them.

A CLAUSE MAP FOR YOUR INSTRUMENT

If your regime is not one of the four we ship, a clause map is data. We author one with your counsel — each clause, what evidence would satisfy it, and which clauses describe your process rather than the platform's behaviour. It lives in your repository and is scored by the same engine as ours.

A DIRECT LINE

To the people who wrote it. Not a support tier.

INFLUENCE

Your instrument, your enforcement points and your review workflow move up the queue, because at this stage the roadmap is mostly a list of things design partners have hit.

What we ask

A REAL WORKLOAD

One agent that does something consequential — moves money, touches personal data, makes a decision about a person. A sandbox exercise teaches us nothing we do not already know.

ROUGHLY A QUARTER

A week of observe before anything is enforced, then a decision. Observe tells you what enforcement *would* have stopped, over your own traffic, before it stops anything.

ACCESS TO THE PEOPLE WHO CARE

An engineer who owns the agent and somebody from risk, compliance or audit. The second is not optional: the product's output is evidence, and only they can say whether it is the evidence they need.

CANDOUR ABOUT FAILURE

Where it is wrong, slow, or asks for something you cannot give. We publish the cells our own detector fails; we can take it.

What it costs

Nothing during the design partner period. Afterwards, pricing follows the shape of your deployment rather than a count of governed decisions — charging per decision would price you for governing more of your estate, which is the opposite of what this is for.

No published price list, on purpose. We do not yet know enough about what these deployments cost to run to publish a number we would still stand behind in a year. You will get a number before you commit to anything, and it will not be a surprise.

What we will not do

- Tell you your deployment is compliant. We supply evidence; the determination is yours and your counsel's.
- Take custody of your data. We do not hold it, and the architecture is why.
- Put your logo on the website without asking, or ask on the first call.
- Promise an instrument we have not encoded. Four are mapped clause by clause; the rest of the pages say plainly that they are not.

How it starts

1 · LOOK FIRST	Read-only access to the running platform is a work address and one click: rotascale.com/demo/ . It needs no call.
2 · FORTY MINUTES	On the running instance, against your agents and your regimes. Half of it is us asking what your agents can do to the world — that decides which gates matter to you.
3 · A WEEK IN OBSERVE	In your environment, with a grant that refuses nothing, and a report at the end you can take internally.
